IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of : Paul Hayward Kelly, *et al*.

Serial No. : 10/678,190

Filed: October 6, 2003

For : PRODUCT SUPPORT AND DISPENSING SYSTEM FOR

A VENDING MACHINE

Group No. : 3653

Examiner : Michael E. Butler

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed July 10, 2008, Applicants provisionally elect the claims of Group I, claims 1-16, WITH TRAVERSE.

The Examiner asserts that group I, Claims 1-16 of the present application, is drawn to an adjustable bail cap for a vending machine and group II, Claims 17-21, is drawn to a method for adjusting a vending machine stack blocking outlet size. The Examiner further acknowledges that the two groups are related as a process and an application for its process, but asserts that the two are distinct because (1) the process can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand,

PATENT

or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. The

MPEP § 608.05(e) states that "[t]he burden is on the examiner to provide reasonable examples that

recite material differences."

Regarding the first point, the Examiner states "process II separate utility such as limiting a

product size that may be dispensed." The Applicants note that this statement fails to identify a

materially different apparatus from the apparatus of Group I that could be used to practice the

process of Group II. or to assert that the process could be practiced by hand. As such, the Examiner

has failed to support the assertion that the process can be practiced by another materially different

apparatus or by hand.

Regarding the second point, the Examiner states that "the apparatus or invention I has

separate utility such as vending items from a vending machine stack of a preselected size." The

Applicants respectfully submit that vending items of a preselected size is not a materially different

process than the process of Group II, adjusting a product dispensing system for a vending machine to

accommodate various sized product containers.

As such, the Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner has failed to establish that the

product and process of groups I and II are distinct and request that the Examiner withdraw the

restriction requirement.

- 2 -

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. DIXI01-00015 SERIAL NO. 10/678,190

PATENT

If any issue arises, or if the Examiner has any suggestions for expediting allowance of this application, the Applicant respectfully invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below or at wmunck@munckcarter.com.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees connected with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0208.

Respectfully submitted,

MUNCK, CARTER P.C.

Date: ary, 11, 2008

William A. Munck

Registration No. 39,308

P.O. Drawer 800889 Dallas, Texas 75380 Phone: (972) 628-3600

Fax: (972) 628-3616

E-mail: wmunck@munckcarter.com